

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/636,497	WANG, YI-FENG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Marc S. Zimmer.

(2) Ken Wheelock.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 December 2003
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:
Burkus, U.S. patent # 5,998,516

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was apprised of an impending rejection over the aforementioned commonly assigned reference. Strategies for overcoming the reference were discussed. Applicant indicated their willingness to amend claim 6 such that it particularly recited the chemical identity of the class of compounds employed as a compression set additive..